


Hardy's Paradox

Frank Rioux
 Professor Emeritus of Chemistry
 CSB|SJU

Hardy's paradox is based on analysis of the double Mach-Zehnder interferometer shown below. A positron enters one interferometer and an electron the other. One arm of each interferometer intersect allowing for electron-positron interaction.

In the subsequent quantum mechanical analysis it will be shown that the paradox in Hardy's thought experiment is that it shows that the electron and positron have some probability of interacting without annihilation occurring .



There are two 50-50 beam splitters in each interferometer. The probability amplitude for transmission is $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and for reflection it is $\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}$. By convention a 90 degree phase shift is assigned to reflection at the beam splitters.

Initially we ignore interaction at the intersection between the two interferometers. The initial state is $|p\rangle|e\rangle$ represented by pe in Mathcad syntax - p represents the positron and e the electron. The rest of the terms are self-explanatory.

The evolution of the initial state is calculated below and we see that in the absence of interaction in the intersecting arms of the interferometers the electron and positron will be registered at their c-detectors. Recall that we calculate a probability amplitude and its absolute square gives the probability ($|-1|^2 = 1$).

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{p} \cdot \text{e} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{substitute, e} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\text{ve} + i \cdot \text{we}) \\
\text{substitute, p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\text{vp} + i \cdot \text{wp}) \\
\text{substitute, vp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (i \cdot \text{cp} + \text{dp}) \rightarrow -\text{ce} \cdot \text{cp} \\
\text{substitute, wp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\text{cp} + i \cdot \text{dp}) \\
\text{substitute, we} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\text{ce} + i \cdot \text{de}) \\
\text{substitute, ve} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (i \cdot \text{ce} + \text{de})
\end{array} \right.
\end{array}$$

Now we look at the situation immediately after the first set of beam splitters and explore the implication of interaction, or in this case electron-positron annihilation.

$$\begin{array}{l}
\text{p} \cdot \text{e} \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{substitute, e} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\text{ve} + i \cdot \text{we}) \\
\text{substitute, p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\text{vp} + i \cdot \text{wp})
\end{array} \right. \rightarrow \frac{\text{ve} \cdot \text{vp}}{2} + \frac{\text{vp} \cdot \text{we} \cdot i}{2} - \frac{\text{we} \cdot \text{wp}}{2} + \frac{\text{ve} \cdot \text{wp} \cdot i}{2}
\end{array}$$

The term $\text{we} \cdot \text{wp}$ gives the probability (25%) that the electron and positron will be in the intersecting arms of the interferometers and annihilate each other. Annihilation removes that amplitude term from further evolution. Replacing it with the symbol γ and calculating what happens at the second set of beam splitters yields the following result.

$$\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{vp} \cdot \text{ve} + i \cdot \text{vp} \cdot \text{we} \dots \\ + -\gamma + i \cdot \text{wp} \cdot \text{ve} \end{array} \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{substitute, vp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (i \cdot \text{cp} + \text{dp}) \\
\text{substitute, wp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\text{cp} + i \cdot \text{dp}) \\
\text{substitute, we} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (\text{ce} + i \cdot \text{de}) \\
\text{substitute, ve} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot (i \cdot \text{ce} + \text{de})
\end{array} \right. \rightarrow -\frac{\gamma}{2} - \frac{3 \cdot \text{ce} \cdot \text{cp}}{4} + \frac{\text{cp} \cdot \text{de} \cdot i}{4} - \frac{\text{de} \cdot \text{dp}}{4} + \frac{\text{ce} \cdot \text{dp} \cdot i}{4}
\end{array}$$

This calculation reveals the paradox. Earlier it was shown that in the absence of interaction ce and cp should fire simultaneously 100% of the time. Now, with interaction/annihilation, that drops to a probability of 9/16 and a photon detected 25% of the time. The bizarre part of the quantum mechanical calculation is in the last three terms above. The probability that one or both of the d detectors will fire is 3/16, but they shouldn't fire in the absence of interference, and interference is accounted for in the first term involving γ . Those terms therefore represent an electron-positron interaction that didn't lead to annihilation.

The Appendix shows how to carry out the analysis using tensor algebra.

Appendix

The motion of the positron and electron to the left and right is represented by the following orthonormal vectors.

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Initially the positron moves to the left and the electron to the right, giving the following initial state in tensor format.

$$p \cdot e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The beam splitters and mirrors are represented by 2x2 matrices. The mirrors are implied in the above figure by the change in direction between the two beam splitters.

$$\text{Beam splitter: } BS := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Mirror: } M := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The four output states are calculated in tensor format using motional basis vectors, $|L\rangle$ and $|R\rangle$.

$$cp \cdot de = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad cp \cdot ce = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad dp \cdot de = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad dp \cdot ce = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The operator representing the dual Mach-Zehnder interferometers is constructed by tensor multiplication of BS and M matrix operators.

$$DMZ := \text{kroncker}(BS, BS) \cdot \text{kroncker}(M, M) \cdot \text{kroncker}(BS, BS)$$

The following calculations show that, in the absence of positron-electron interaction only the c-detectors fire simultaneously.

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot DMZ \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 = 0 \quad \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot DMZ \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 = 1$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 = 0 \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 = 0$$

To complete the analysis in tensor format we look at the p-e state immediately after the first set of beam splitters.

$$\text{kroncker}(\text{BS}, \text{BS}) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.5i \\ 0.5 \\ -0.5 \\ 0.5i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left[\begin{pmatrix} i \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

The third position on the product vector is the probability amplitude that the positron is in the right arm of its interferometer and that the electron is in the left arm of its interferometer - the w arms in the figure above. If the positron-electron pair interact annihilation occurs and a photon is produced. That term, therefore, is set to zero and the state used for the remaining evolution is,

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

This state interacts with the remaining mirrors and beam splitters.

$$\text{BSM} := \text{kroncker}(\text{BS}, \text{BS}) \cdot \text{kroncker}(\text{M}, \text{M})$$

The following output probability calculations are in exact agreement with the earlier calculations.

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 = 0.0625 \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 = 0.5625$$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 = 0.0625 \quad \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} i \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \right]^2 = 0.0625$$